

Hon. Richard Jones

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE**

KYLE LYDELL CANTY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF SEATTLE, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:16-CV-01655-RAJ-JPD

CITY OF SEATTLE DEFENDANTS'  
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
REGARDING REDACTION OF FILINGS  
PURSUANT TO LCR 5.2 (a)

**I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

Defendants City of Seattle and Officers Marshall Coolidge, Sean Culbertson, Timothy Renihan, and Hancock (hereinafter "City of Seattle Defendants") do not oppose Plaintiff's request to waive his own right to allow filings with his personal identifiers. However, to the extent that Plaintiff requests that the court allow filings with information about any other parties or persons to this matter, the City of Seattle Defendants request that the motion be denied.

**II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Plaintiff Pro Se has brought this civil rights action against the City of Seattle Defendants, as well as against King County and several of its employees. Plaintiff has filed a motion seeking the court's permission to file un-redacted documents containing identifiers that would normally require redaction pursuant to LCR 5.2 (a).

CITY OF SEATTLE DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE  
TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION REGARDING  
REDACTION OF FILINGS  
NO. 2:16-CV-01655-RAJ-JPD

### III. STATEMENT OF ISSUES

Should Plaintiff Pro Se be permitted to file documents without redacting identifiers or other information governed by LCR 5.2 (a), and if so, should the exception from the rule be limited to those identifiers that relate to him as opposed to other parties, witnesses, or persons?

### IV. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

The City of Seattle Defendants rely on the pleadings and the court's file herein.

### V. ARGUMENT

For reasons that are unclear, Plaintiff seeks permission to file documents that contain personal identifiers that ordinary must be redacted under LCR 5.2 (a). In fact, Plaintiff has already filed un-redacted documents in support of his containing such personal identifiers that relate to himself. See Plaintiff's Motion, Ex. C. While the City of Seattle Defendants have no objection to Plaintiff filing un-redacted documents with the court that contain only his own personal identifiers, they do object to such un-redacted documents being filed with identifiers that relate to any other party, witness, or person. Thus, if the court grants Plaintiff's motion, it should only allow Plaintiff to file un-redacted documents containing his own identifiers. The court should order that any such identifiers relating to other parties, witnesses, or persons must be redacted as required by the rule unless otherwise ordered by the court for good cause.

///

///

///

**VI. CONCLUSION**

For all the forgoing reasons, the court should either deny Plaintiff's motion, or else grant it only to the extent that it permits Plaintiff to file un-redacted documents with identifiers that relate to himself and not relating to other parties, witnesses, or persons.

DATED this 13th day of July, 2017.

s/ John R. Nicholson

JOHN R. NICHOLSON WSBA #30499

Freimund Jackson & Tardif, PLLC

701 5th Avenue, Suite 3545

Seattle, WA 98104

Telephone: (206) 582-6001

Facsimile: (206) 466-6085

[Johnn@fjtlaw.com](mailto:Johnn@fjtlaw.com)

Attorneys for Defendants City of Seattle,  
Officer Marshall Coolidge, Sean Culbertson,  
Timothy Renihan and Officer Hancock

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the 13th day of July, 2017, I caused a true and correct copy of this document to be served on the following in the manner indicated below:

Pro se Plaintiff, Kyle Lydell Canty #216035994 King County Jail (Seattle) 500 5 <sup>th</sup> Ave. Seattle, WA 98104	( X ) U.S. Mail
---	-----------------

DATED this 13th day of July, 2017, in Seattle, Washington.

s/Kathie Fudge  
KATHIE FUDGE, Legal Assistant to  
GREGORY E. JACKSON  
701 5<sup>TH</sup> Avenue, Suite 3545  
Seattle, WA 98104  
kathief@fjtlaw.com

CITY OF SEATTLE DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE  
TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION REGARDING  
REDACTION OF FILINGS  
NO. 2:16-CV-01655-RAJ-JPD